



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
06/928,237	1.1/07/86	BEYERSDURF		

FRICHARD G. WATERMAN F. D. BOX 1967 MIDLAND, MI 48641-1967

NUTTER VIEW	AMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	3	
DATE MAILED:	01/29/88	

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

-			
This application has been examined	Responsive to communication	filed on [This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this Failure to respond within the period for response	action is set to expire m	come abandoned. 35 U.S.C.	
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) L Notice of References Cited by Exami Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PT Information on How to Effect Drawing	ner, PTO-892. 2. O-1449 4.	Notice re Patent Drawing Notice of informal Patent	
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION			
1. № Claims 1-23			_ are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _			_ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims			_ have been cancelled.
3. Claims			_ are allowed.
4. X Claims 1 - 23			_ are rejected.
5. Claims			are objected to.
6. Claims		are subject to r	estriction or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with i	nformal drawings which are accep	otable for examination purposes	s until such time as allowable subject
8. Allowable subject matter having been	indicated, format drawings are re	quired in response to this Offic	ce action.
9. The corrected or substitute drawings in not acceptable (see explanation).			ngs areacceptable;
10. The proposed drawing correction a has (have) been approved by the			wings, filed on
11. The proposed drawing correction, file the Patent and Trademark Office no locorrected. Corrections MUST be effect EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTG	onger makes drawing changes. It ted in accordance with the instru	is now applicant's responsibil	approved (see explanation). However, 1y to ensure that the drawings are ed letter "INFORMATION ON HOW To
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim	for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119.	The certified copy has b	een received not been received
been filed in parent application,			
13. Since this application appears to be in accordance with the practice under Ex			as to the merits is closed in
14. Other			

Serial No. 928,237

Art Unit 153

Claims 1-6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The language of the claims is focused on recitations of physical properties to define the latex.

Whereas these recitations are functional they fail to define what the copolymer may consist of or comprise.

The terms "increased temperature and humidity" are qualitative and do not define any particular values of temperature or humidity. What would a non-increased value represent?

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the

Art Unit 153

subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-6, 10 and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Hen.

The patent to Hen teaches the production of a latex composition which may be employed as a binder for cellulosic paper compositions. Note column 2 (lines 9-46) which teaches the relative proportions of monomers which may be employed and which correspond to those employed by applicants.

Since the relative proportions of monomers used by the reference overlap with those of the claims as in claim 17, and since the product apparently has "sufficient strength for necessary handling", the latex composition of the claims is deemed to be an obvious modification of the reference. The further manipulation of the Tg values or modulus of rupture as reflected by percentages of monomer proportions likewise are deemed to be of a mechanical nature. Employment of the latex composition in any composite, sheet or nonwoven, in its art recognized capacity, that is, as a binder, is deemed to be an obvious modification to a practioner in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. Finally, selection of suitable monomers including styrene, butadrene, and α , β -ethylenically unsaturated monomers and their esters is manipulable to a practioner in view of the monomers recited at column 2 (lines 9-46).

Serial No. 928,237
Art Unit 153

Claims 7-9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hen, cited above.

The patent to Hen teaches the production of a latex which may comprise a monovinylidene monomer, including styrene and its derivatives, and aliphatics conjugated diene, including butadiene and α , β -ethylenically unsaturated monomer including ethyl acrylate.

The monomers recited at column 2 (lines 9-46) and column 2 (line 62) to column 3 (line 65) embrace those recited in the instant claims. Thus, the reference is deemed to anticipate in the sense of 35 USC 102(b) the instant claims.

NNutter:clw

(703) 557-6525

1/25/88

Nathan M Watter